

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 03101 01 OF 02 041913Z

51
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00 SAJ-01

DODE-00 SS-15 NSC-05 /062 W
----- 049619

R 041806Z JUNE 75
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2159
INFO AMEMBASSY BELGRADE
AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST
AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST
AMEMBASSY LONDON
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
AMEMBASSY OTTAWA
AMEMBASSY PARIS
AMEMBASSY PARGUE
AMEMBASSY SOFIA
AMEMBASSY WARSAW

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 3101

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1
TAGS: PFOR, XG
SUBJECT: EUROPEAN COMMUNIST PARTY CONFERENCE

REF: A. STATE 126888 (NOTAL)
B. ROME 7431
C. WARSAW 3437

1. ON JUNE 3, POLADS HAD BRIEF EXCHANGE ON PREPARATIONS FOR THE EUROPEAN COMMUNIST PARTY CONFERENCE (ECPC), DURING WHICH ITALIAN REP, CITING AN ITALIAN COMMUNIST PARTY SOURCE, SAID THAT THE EUROPEAN CP'S STILL HOPE TO REACH A COMPROMISE IN THE DISPUTE OVER THE DRAFT DOCUMENT FOR THE ECPC (THIS MAY BE SAME REPORT AS THAT IN REF B).

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 03101 01 OF 02 041913Z

2. MISSION RECEIVED IN 98 AFTER JUNE 3 POLADS MEETING AND PLANS TO CONVEY SOME OF ITS HIGHLIGHTS (SUPPLEMENTED BY INFORMATION DRAWN FROM REF C) TO ALLIES PRIOR TO JUNE 10 POLADS MEEETING.

3. CANADIAN REP CIRCULATED FOLLOWING PAPER, BASED ON REPORTS
BY CANADIAN EMBASSIES IN MOSCOW AND BELGRADE, ON PREPARATIONS FOR
ECPC.

BEGIN TEXT:

ACCORDING TO INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM THE
CANADIAN EMBASSIES IN MOSCOW AND BELGRADE A FURTHER
MEETING OF THE DRAFTING COMMITTEE IN PREPARATION FOR AN
ALL-EUROPEAN CONFERENCE OF COMMUNIST PARTIES WAS HELD
IN EAST BERLIN IN THE MIDDLE OF MAY. SIGNIFICANTLY
THE YUGOSLAVS WERE ABSENT FROM THIS MEETING, DESPITE
PRIOR EFFORTS BY THE ITALIAN PARTY, ALONG WITH THE
EAST GERMANS, TO WORK OUT A COMPROMISE DOCUMENT IN
ADVANCE OF THIS MEETING. THIS COMPROMISE WAS DEEMED
UNSATISFACTORY BY THE YUGOSLAVS WHO, REFUSING TO
DISCUSS FUNDAMENTAL QUESTIONS OF INTERPARTY RELATIONS AT
SUCH A MEETING, DESPATCHED ALEXANDER GRILICHKOV, THE
SENIOR LCPY OFFICIAL REPRESENTING THEM ON QUESTIONS
RELATING TO THE PREPARATIONS FOR THE CONFERENCE, TO
MOSCOW TO EXPLAIN CAREFULLY ONCE AGAIN THE YUGOSLAV
POSITION. WHILE HIS CONVERSATIONS WITH HIS SOVIET
HOSTS (APPARENTLY PONOMAREV AND KATUSCHEV) APPEARED
TO BE AMICABLE ENOUGH, IT IS STILL NOT CLEAR WHEN THE
CONFERENCE PREPARATIONS ARE TO GO FROM HERE SINCE THERE
ARE NO INDICATIONS OF CHANGES IN THE BASIC POSITIONS
OF EITHER SIDE.

ACCORDING TO THE YUGOSLAV EMBASSY IN MOSCOW,
IT SEEMS THAT THE FAIRLY LONG DISCUSSIONS GRILICHKOV
HAD WITH PONOMAREV AND KATUSHEV WERE FRANK AND CORDIAL,
BUT DID NOT LEAD TO ANY CHANGES IN RESPECTIVE POSITIONS.
GRILICHKOV SET OUT YUGOSLAV VIEWS AND MADE CLEAR THAT,
GIVEN THE YUGOSLAV SITUATION, IT WAS IMPOSSIBLE FOR THEM
TO GO ALONG WITH A CONFERENCE DOCUMENT PURPORTING TO
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 03101 01 OF 02 041913Z

EXPRESS COMMON PURPOSES AND ACTION GUIDELINES FOR
EUROPEAN COMMUNIST PARTIES. THE MOST THAT THEY COULD
ACCEPT WAS A COMMUNIQUE LISTING THE POINTS DISCUSSED WITH
A BRIEF COMMENTARY RECORDING THE POINTS ON WHICH THERE
WAS BROAD CONSENSUS, BUT WHERE DIFFERENCES EXISTED SETTING
OUT THE DISSENTING VIEWS AND IDENTIFYING THE PARTIES
SUBSCRIBING TO THOSE VIEWS.

THE YUGOSLAV APPARENTLY ALSO SUGGESTED THAT
A FRESH START SHOULD BE MADE IN PREPARATIONS FOR THE
CONFERENCE THROUGH BILATERAL CONSULTATIONS, SUSPENDING FOR
SOME TIME AT LEAST THE MEETINGS OF THE DRAFTING COMMITTEE.
FINALLY, GRILICHKOV HAD TOLD PONOMAREV THAT HE QUITE

APPRECIATED THAT THE YUGOSLAV POSITION MIGHT CAUSE PROBLEMS FOR MANY OTHER PARTIES. RECOGNIZING THAT YUGOSLAVIA WAS IN MANY WAYS IN A SPECIAL SITUATION, THE YUGOSLAV LEADERSHIP COULD UNDERSTAND IF IT SHOULD BE THE GENERAL WISH TO REJECT YUGOSLAV SUGGESTIONS AND PROCEED WITH THE PREPARETATIONS FOR THE CONFERENCE ON THE PRESENT BASIS. IN THAT EVENT, HOWEVER, THE OTHER PARTIES WOULD NO DOUBT UNDERSTAND IF THE YUGOSLAVS DID NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE CONFERENCE.

IN EXPLAINING THEIR POSITION THE SOVIET SIDE STRESSED THE NEED IN THEIR VIEW TO PRESENT A MORE UNITED FRONT AND TO WORK OUT GUIDELINES FOR THE FUTURE, WHICH INDIVIDUAL PARTIES COULD OF COURSE ADAPT TO THEIR NATIONAL SITUATIONS.

FURTHER, WHATEVER MIGHT NOW HAPPEN, THE YUGOSLAVS NOW FEEL THAT THEY HAD BEEN RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE EARLIER PREPARATORY MEETINGS. THEIR PRESENCE HAD CERTAINLY BEEN A FACTOR CONTRIBUTING TO A GOOD AND THOROUGH EXCHANGE OF VIEWS AMONG EUROPEAN COMMUNIST PARTIES ON VERY IMPORTANT ISSUES FOR THE FUTURE OR RELATIONS BETWEEN PARTIES. MOREOVER, THESE EXCHANGES HAD REVEALED A GOOD DEAL OF SUPPORT FOR THE YUGOSLAV POSITION. MANY NEW IDEAS HAD THUS BEEN "STIRRED UP" AND WOULD NOT EASILY BE FORGOTTEN.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 NATO 03101 02 OF 02 041926Z

43
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00 SAJ-01

DODE-00 SS-15 NSC-05 /062 W
----- 049753

R 041806Z JUNE 75
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASH DC 2160
INFO AMEMBASSY BELGRADE
AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST
AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST
AMEMBASSY LONDON
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
AMEMBASSY OTTAWA
AMEMBASSY PARIS
AMEMBASSY PRAGUE
AMEMBASSY SOFIA

AMEMBASSY WARSAW

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 3101

A POSSIBLE COMPROMISE COURSE CURRENTLY BEING DISCUSSED IN BELGRADE IS THAT THE FINAL DOCUMENTS OF AN ALL-EUROPEAN CONFERENCE COULD EMBODY BOTH THE YUGOSLAV AND SOVIET POINTS OF VIEW AND PRESENT A VERY GENERAL ANALYSIS OF THE EUROPEAN SITUATION AND A VERY GENERAL "TASKS OF EUROPEAN PARTIES" SECTION. THE YUGOSLAVS MIGHT ALSO AGREE TO A VAGUELY-WORDED CALL FOR A WORLD MEETING IF IT IS BALANCED WITH A HIGHLY RESTRICTIVE PHRASE ALONG THE LINES OF "WHEN THE TIME IS RIPE".

SUCH A COMPROMISE COURSE APPEARS TO BE THE ONLY ONE CONCEIVABLE BUT IN TURN WOULD REPRESENT ONLY A LIMITED VICTORY FOR THE YUGOSLAVS, E.G. THE CPSU'S DESIRE TO REASSERT ITS CONTROL AND TO PREPARE FOR THE

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 03101 02 OF 02 041926Z

ousting of the Chinese from the world movement would have been unable once again to obtain a clearly unequivocal affirmation of their right to participate fully in the international movement while following an independent course based on a non-aligned foreign policy and self-management at home.

SUCH A ONE-SIDED COMPROMISE MAY NOT BE VERY ACCEPTABLE TO THE USSR BUT THE UNPALATABLE ALTERNATIVE WOULD BE TO PROCEED WITH THE EUROPEAN MEETING WITHOUT THE YUGOSLAVS AND POSSIBLY WITH OTHERS EITHER BOYCOTTING OR, MORE LIKELY, MAKING THEIR DISSENTING VOICES HEARD IN OTHER WAYS. GIVEN THE PUBLIC AWARENESS OF THE ELEMENTS OF THE DISPUTE AND THE FACT THAT THE YUGOSLAVS APPARENTLY BELIEVE THAT THEIR RIGHT TO AN INDEPENDENT COURSE IS AT STAKE, IT IS DIFFICULT TO SEE HOW THE YUGOSLAV LEADERSHIP COULD AGREE TO ANYTHING THAT AMOUNTED TO A RETREAT FROM THEIR CLEARLY ENUNCIATED POSITION.

A FURTHER INTERESTING ASPECT OF THE DISPUTE IS THE LINK WHICH THE YUGOSLAVS (AND ROMANIANS) APPARENTLY SEE BETWEEN THE CSCE AND COMMUNIST PARTY CONFERENCE. IN THEIR VIEW, ONE EFFECT OF THE CSCE, UNLESS QUALIFIED ADEQUATELY BY THE RESULTS OF THE COMMUNIST PARTY CONFERENCE, WOULD BE TO BOLSTER DANGEROUSLY THE USSR POSITION OF LEADERSHIP-OR HEGEMONY-OVER THE EASTERN EUROPEAN SOCIALIST COUNTRIES AND COMMUNIST PARTIES. SINCE THEY TOOK TO THE PARTY CONFERENCE TO CONSECRATE TO AT LEAST TO REAFFIRM THE RIGHT OF INDIVIDUAL PARTIES TO PURSUE AN INDEPENDENT COURSE ADAPTED TO NATIONAL CONDITIONS. IT WAS FOR THAT

REASON THAT THEY OPPOSED THE HOLDING OF THE PARTY CONFERENCE BEFORE THE CONCLUSION OF THE CSCE, AS THE LATTER WAS ALSO FOR THEM A BARGAINING COUNTER IN SEEKING TO ACHIEVE A SATISFACTORY OUTCOME TO THE FORMER. THE BEHAVIOUR OF THE ROMANIANS AND THE YUGOSLAVS IN GENEVA IN THE FINAL STAGES OF THE NEGOTIATIONS MIGHT THUS BE HEAVILY INFLUENCED BY THE CURRENT DEBATE ON THE COMMUNIST PARTY CONFERENCE AND BECOME MORE OBSTRUCTIONIST, IF A SOLUTION WERE NOT FOUND ON A FORMULA FOR THE OUTCOME OF THE PARTY CONFERENCE.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 03101 02 OF 02 041926Z

IT IS NOT CLEAR WHERE THE CONFERENCE PREPARATIONS ARE TO GO FROM HERE. SOME BASIC JUDGEMENTS MUST PRESUMABLY BE MADE BY THE RUSSIANS FAIRLY SOON ON WHETHER TO ALLOW SOME SLIPPAGE OF TIME (AND RISK THAT THE CONFERENCE MAY HAVE TO BE POSTPONED TO AFTER THE 25TH CPSU CONGRESS) TO TRY TO OVERCOME THE PRESENT DEADLOCK WITH THE YUGOSLAVS (SUPPORTED BY THE ROMANIANS AND TO A CONSIDERABLE EXTENT BY THE ITALIANS AND SPANIARDS); OR TO FORCE THE ISSUE ACCEPTING THE POSSIBILITY THE YUGOSLAVS WILL BOYCOTT THE CONFERENCE, ALONG WITH THE RESULTING STRAINS WITHIN THE COMMUNIST PARTY COMMUNITY.

END TEXT.

BRUCE.

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: X
Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 04 JUN 1975
Decapton Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decapton Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO03101
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 XGDS-1
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750698/abbrzkj.tel
Line Count: 247
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A. STATE 126888 (NOTAL) B. ROME 7431 C. WARSAW 3437
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 29 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <29 APR 2003 by ElyME>; APPROVED <30 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: EUROPEAN COMMUNIST PARTY CONFERENCE
TAGS: PFOR, XG
To: STATE INFO BELGRADE
BONN
BUCHAREST
BUDAPEST
LONDON
MOSCOW

OTTAWA
PARIS
PARGUE
SOFIA
WARSAW

Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006